



Self-Efficacy and Achievement of Students in the Government Schools of Rawalakot Azad Kashmir

Sajid Ali Khan*,

Green Hills College Rawalakot Poonch AJK

Syed Ali Asghar Bukhari,

Higher Secondary School Soli Haveli AJK

Muhammad Fahim Yasin,

Piolt High School Rawalakot Poonch AJK

Muhammad Naeem,

Middle School Rant Rawalakot Poonch AJK

Sayyad Khurshid,

Boys College Rawalakot Poonch AJK

Received: 12. 02.2022

• Accepted: 23.05.2022

• Published: 30.06.2022

Abstract: Self-viability is a vigorously explored theme inside the instructive discipline and is an essential mark of extensive-term execution and adequacy. Our public preparing gadget needs great fledgling educators possessing awesome feelings of viability and genuine instructing limit. The exhibited understudy educator execution appraisal is being utilized the nation over as a method for evaluating the preparation of student instructors for a hit calling in tutoring. Since self-adequacy is most intensely associated with early audits, it's crucial to comprehend the researcher's self-viability. This ganders found that the connection between self-viability and achievement rating toward the finish of the test, understudies inside the public authority resources of Rawalakot is standard. Self-adequacy in sure understudies changed into saw to diminish later inward accommodation while given remarks on advancement and execution. All people had been demonstrated to increment in self-adequacy from the beginning of the student as far as possible accurate to form fundamentally dependent on the writing evaluation. Understudy mentor execution is contrarily related to the other in self-viability from the start to the stop of understudy training. These outcomes show that the more the exchange self-viability from the start of the instructing as far as possible, the reduction a researcher performs at the achievement. This likewise proposes that when the other in self-adequacy is less, satisfaction execution is extra.

Keywords: Self-Efficacy, Students, Rawalakot, Azad Kashmir

* Corresponding Author: sajid.ali680@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

An expected profession in schooling begins with early instruction and concentrates on incorporating researcher showing all through undergrad review. During this time, understudy educators begin to shape academic lead and examples that endure during an instructing profession. Self-adequacy plays out a situation inside the embellishment of the academic design an amateur educator embraces. In this manner, it's far vital to comprehend the effects self-viability has on common in general execution and satisfaction of educators in those early years. Since understudy training is by and large one of the main dominant encounters an educator has, researcher instructors arise as the focal point for self-adequacy research. This exploration follows the past self-viability studies in the early instructing years.

1.1. Background of Self-Efficacy

The idea of self-adequacy is an exceptionally explored and viewed subject in many disciplines as it identifies with work execution and fulfilment. Bandura (1997) was one of the first to explore adequacy and its relationship to human conduct. He characterized self-viability as an individual's conviction of their capacity and ability to execute essential undertakings to achieve an ideal objective. In less complex terms, self-adequacy is an individual's view of their capacity to perform. Bandura (1997) expressed that individual bases their sensations of viability all the more intensely on their apparent degree of inspiration, full of feeling states and activities, rather than the goal reality. Klassen and Chiu (2010) thought about the self-adequacy in research as an essential mark of task execution, fulfilment enrollment and maintenance and understudy accomplishment. In this manner, an individual's adequacy in their work can be connected to their apparent viability.

Numerous college educator readiness programs have confronted the test of enough planning understudies for finishing the exhibition accomplishment prerequisites. Mill operator Carroll and Markwork (2015) depicted that keeping up with the central components of an educator planning program, the thorough execution of the exhibition accomplishment, alongside keeping up with the central components of an instructor readiness program, can end up being goal-oriented for program pioneers. Backing and technique suggestions would be helpful to college educators planning programs that face this test. Thusly, execution accomplishment exploration would furnish program pioneers with information to help program choice and development. Program pioneers will want to coordinate better informative strategies and adequacy incorporating encounters into planning programs that will eventually help execution accomplishment scores.

1.2. Objectives of the Study

In Azad Kashmir, there is not even a single significant publication regarding the self-efficacy and achievement of students. Therefore, the present study covered the factors which are not been considered previously. This study was conducted with the following objectives;

1. Improve the information base guiding the improvement of student preparation programs.
2. To examine the relationship between self-efficacy and achievement of students.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Theory of Self-Efficacy

This examination of teacher self-practicality is set up on the very speculation that maintains sufficiency composing across the preparation discipline: Bandura's (1997) theory of self-amplessness. Anyway there are many proposed implications of self-practicality, Bandura (1997) characterizes adequacy as "convictions in one's ability to coordinate and execute the approaches require delivering given fulfillments". In schooling, an instructor's convictions of their capacity to foster understudy learning influences scholastic advancement by both the educator and the understudies (Bandura, 1993).

Bandura (1997) expressed that instructor viability can be created by a mix of sources rather than a solitary source. Wellsprings of adequacy advancement incorporate authority encounters, indirect, collective influence, and excitement of the psyche and body, all of which assume a part in the turn of events and arrangement of an educator applicant. Improvement of showing abilities requires attempting new strategies and facing challenges which prompt an increment in an educator's certainty or adequacy. The more willing the educator is to face challenges, the more certain or solid they are in the instructing capacity.

2.2. Efficacy in an Educational Context

There has been a broad exploration of "adequacy", particularly regarding instructor viability. Ashton, Webb, and Doda (1983) show that capability can be assessed depending on the distinguishing proof of an instructor's sensations of adequacy. Hence, assessment of adequacy settings is advantageous for the instructing calling. Bandura (1997) comprehensively characterizes adequacy as "convictions in one's ability to arrange and execute the strategies needed to deliver given accomplishments".

Tschannen-Moran et al. (1998) said that showing viability is an instructor's conviction that the person can wrap up jobs needed for successful education in a given setting. Instructors work as an individual from their understudy and study hall association, and their expert circle includes organization and partners and thusly are by and large evaluated dependent on their capability in the two regards. Pre-help instructors centre around their obligations to their understudies, and homeroom during their understudy is showing semester. However, the significance of local experts is likewise worried in most educator readiness programs.

Fledgling et al. (2008) concentrated on pre-assistance instructors in rural training and estimated adequacy at three specific moments: before techniques, later strategies, before understudy educating, and later understudy instructing. The consequences of the review showed pre-assistance instructor adequacy increment at every information assortment point, proposing that more involvement in showing abilities expanded viability. They also observed understudy commitment as the space of most reduced adequacy, which is like the consequences of similar exploration. Interestingly, adequacy in homeroom the board and educational procedures is by all accounts most reliably the most noteworthy spaces of educator viability dependent on understudy instructing encounters.

Fortman and Pontius (2000) showed a considerable addition in pre-assistance instructor viability as the aftereffect of understudy education by a gathering of 100 understudy educators set in an assortment of understudy education settings. Hoy and Woolfolk (1990) added the finding that, albeit generally showing viability builds, trust in specific regions, for example, beating restrictions of home and family foundations, might diminish. Roberts et al. (2006) recommend that postgraduate

education and word-related encounters might add to horticulture educator's adequacy convictions, supporting that experience construct viability since postgraduate education and work-related experience would give more insight to an instructor to draw from that somebody who has not one or the other.

Conversely, a few examinations have recommended that experience doesn't construct adequacy fundamentally in certain circumstances (Jamil, Downer, & Pianata, 2012; Klassen & Chui, 2010). Jamil et al. (2012) utilized the Teacher Sense of Efficacy Scale in an investigation of 509 pre-assistance educators in a mix of Bachelor's and Master's helping projects to gauge the adequacy of pre-help instructors during understudy education and at the finish of the experience. Their discoveries showed that authority experience didn't foresee instructor viability toward the finish of understudy education. Physiological properties, for example, characteristics and convictions, might fill in as significant indicators of understudy educator viability.

Matter (2014) recommended that a singular's character can impact how dominance experience or other adequacy impact occasion is seen. Character isn't at all connected to showing adequacy, and the review's explicit setting should be viewed as relating to educator viability.

Allinder (1995) proposes showing viability expands end-of-year objectives for understudies and results in more goal-oriented objectives for understudies, bringing about higher accomplishment. In addition to the fact that students are scholarly accomplishments impacted by instructor viability, but on the other hand, is steady of understudies' conduct and social necessities, perspectives educator and scientists not disregard. Educator viability is straightforwardly associated with positive results (Tschannen-Moran, Hoy and Hoy, 1998), yet further survey clarifies what explicitly characterizes positive instructing results. Henson (2001) emphasizes the possibility of positive results with discoveries that instructor adequacy is decidedly identified with powerful study hall the board understudy learning and general homeroom execution.

In an investigation of 2000 Italian instructors, Caprara et al. (2006) estimated instructor adequacy and contrasted the scores with understudy end-of-year grades. Their discoveries recommend that an understudy's scholastic accomplishment depends intensely on an instructor's feeling of ability, or self-adequacy, given the outcomes showing the relationship between the two factors. Zimmerman (1995) suggests that these investigations on understudy accomplishment uphold adequacy influences achievements, yet in addition to educator accomplishment. In a nitty-gritty amalgamation of viability factors and examination, Goddard et al. (2004) give an enormous assortment of proof for the positive relationship between's instructor adequacy and execution.

Sharma and Sharma (2016) support the assortment of examinations proposing linkage among adequacy and execution with discoveries from an investigation of 550 optional senior teachers showing vast impacts of the ability to understand anyone on a profound level and self-viability on study hall execution. Klassen and Shui (2010) Job fulfilment is another instructive profession perspective that has been concentrated limitlessly in the instructive discipline and has been liberally connected to instructor viability. An investigation of 1,430 rehearsing instructors observed that educators with more noteworthy study hall the executives' adequacy had more prominent work fulfilment. Similar instructors in the review with more noteworthy educational technique viability likewise had more prominent work fulfilment.

2.3. Student Teacher Achievement Programs

With a broad collection of exploration expressing the significance of understudy educator viability and sufficient groundwork for a showing profession, instructor arrangement programs are prescribed

to give close consideration to understudy educator adequacy. Myers and Dyer (2004) concentrated on the Experiences of pre-help educators during beginning preparing periods differently affect instructor viability than input later educators in the field. Along these lines, exceptional thought ought to be made during starting preparation by instructor planning programs, fundamentally zeroing in on educating and learning. This part audits writing that makes suggestions for instructor readiness programs dependent on investigations of understudy educator viability. It is vital to avoid the suspicion that instructor adequacy, regardless of whether maximum or minimum, has corresponding positive or adverse consequences (Matter, 2014).

Per Bandura (1997), mastery and vicarious encounters are accepted to be two fundamental wellsprings of viability, dominance being the most potent wellspring of adequacy. Much examination supports the requirement for understudy instructors to have authority encounters earlier enlistment.

3. Research Methodology

A thorough literature survey is carried out regarding theoretical and applied aspects. Data are collected concerning self-efficacy and achievement from different Government schools in Rawalakot Azad Kashmir. Statistical techniques are applied to estimate the behaviour of students. These techniques include; descriptive statistics, regression and correlation structures. Data collection is made from a sample of 24 student teachers in the government school of primary class. A purposive sampling technique and questionnaire are used to get the purpose.

3.1. Research Design

This review uses subjective and quantitative techniques for instructive exploration, which looks to give proof regarding instructive peculiarities (Johnson and Christensen, 2008). This part incorporates populace, test and examining method, instrumentation, and information assortment and information examination. This review clarifies and depicts how understudies of schools are inspired and accomplish that objective.

3.2 Population

Members of this review included college understudies at government schools of Rawalakot Azad Kashmir. Since the number of inhabitants in understudies in the public authority schools and educators is generally small in this space ($n=24$), a statistic was led on the populace chosen.

3.3 Sample

It is essential to note that the members' example is a little dependent on research test size suggestions (Krejcie and Morgan, 1970). A list of students was obtained from the government schools primary ($n=15$) and secondary ($n=9$) in Rawalakot Azad Kashmir. Preceding acquainting the review with the members, program pioneers appointed every understudy with a member code to ensure the member's personality. The code permitted the analyst to follow the finishing status of every member on the review surveys.

3.4 Instrumentation

Review techniques included various quantitative information and used a formerly evolved poll. The instrument utilized was the Tschannen-Moran and Hoy (2001) Teacher Sense Efficacy Scale (TSES). There are two types of this instrument, a long structure with 24 things and a short structure with 12 things. The 12-thing short structure was chosen for two reasons. To begin with, the curtailed structure is suggested for pre-assistance instructors. Second, the members were reviewed multiple times,

increasing the probability of member burnout. The component examination performed on this instrument allotted a solitary element structure because the noticed factors are like one another and effectively discernable from different things (Tschannen-Moran and Hoy, 2001). One more justification for utilizing the 12-thing structure is a direct result of the occasions members were to be studied and the chance of member burnout. The scale comprises 12 inquiries estimating different parts of instructor adequacy and comprises three developments: understudy commitment, informative methodologies, and study hall the board.

3.5 Data Collection

The review was acquainted with every member bunch multi weeks preceding their first week at their understudy showing arrangement site. The analyst introduced the review and the survey necessities to each gathering. As of now, members marked an agree structure to partake in the review. Every member's marked assent allowed the specialist to oversee the necessary overviews and gather other important information.

3.6 Data Analysis

Mean and standard deviations were determined to dissect the general patterns of self-adequacy genuinely. Mean scores are addressed for the complete score. Pearson item second connections, Pearson r , were determined to decide if any measurably critical connections exist between the members' self-viability and accomplishment of understudies.

Arithmetic Mean

Arithmetic mean may be defined as the sum of the values divided by the number of values in the set. Its basic formula is,

$$A.M = \bar{x} = \frac{\sum X_i}{N}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Variance and Standard Deviation.

The normal square of the deviations taken from mean is called fluctuation and positive square foundation of change is called standard deviation. $Var(x) = \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{N}$

And standard deviation is: $S.D(x) = \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{N}}$

Correlation

If the relationship between a dependent variable and an independent is linear, it is known as simple correlation coefficient of Y on X.

$$r = \frac{n \sum XY - \sum X \sum Y}{\sqrt{[n \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2][n \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2]}}, \quad -1 < r < +1$$

(Agarwal, 2003).

SPSS will be used for the analysis of data.

4. Results and Discussion

In this section, the outcomes to the exploration goals are introduced with respect to the progressions in understudy self-viability and the connection between understudy self-adequacy and execution. The members are understudy educators from similar schools in two distinction instructor planning programs: Primary training and Secondary Education (n=24). Members were approached to take a

proportion of their self-viability utilizing the Teacher Sense of Self Efficacy Scale created by Tschannen-Moran and Hoy (2001). Information were gathered at six benchmarks all through their understudy educating in which related with their accomplishment execution progress and consummation date.

4.1. Objective 1: Describe the Change in Student Self-Efficacy

The motivation behind deciding the progressions in members' self-viability was to assist with depicting the patterns found among all members and the singular member gatherings. By ascertaining the mean scores different portrayals, the analyst had the option to make pattern lines for members.

T1- Pre-Student Teaching (initial data collection of class)

T2- First Week of Teaching

T3-After Lesson

T4- Post Score (Final Data Collection)

Table 1. Self-Efficacy Total Scores of the Teacher Sense of Self Efficacy Scale from all Students

Self-Efficacy Scores	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
T1- Pre-Student Teaching	22	6.00	0.66
T2- First Week of Teaching	24	6.16	1.07
T3- After Lesson	19	6.63	0.82
T4- Post Scores	22	7.65	1.06

Note. The maximum Self-Efficacy Score is 9.00.

Table 1 shows the absolute self-viability scores from the Teacher Sense of Self Efficacy Scale for all members in the review. Mean scores and standard deviations are introduced in the request for the information assortment benchmarks.

The underlying Teacher Sense of Self Efficacy Scale mean score for all members (n=22) was 6.00 and the last score was 7.65 out of a potential most extreme score of 9.00. Mean change from the underlying score to the last score step by step expanded all through the information assortment benchmarks. Mean scores show a 27.5% expansion from T1 (beginning information assortment) to T4 (last information assortment).

4.2. Objective 2: Correlation between Self-Efficacy Trends and Achievement

The reason for deciding the relationship between's member self-viability patterns and accomplishment execution was to recognize explicit strides during the time spent finishing the presentation accomplishment that might affect or be affected by self-adequacy. Table 2 shows the Pearson Product Moment aftereffects of the bivariate connections between's the free factor (self-viability scores) with the reliant variable, accomplishment execution scores.

Task1- Conventional Teaching Method

Task2- Video Instruction Method

Task3- Lecture Method

Table 2. Correlations between Self-Efficacy and Achievement performance Task 2 and 3 and Total Scores for All Students.

Self-Efficacy at Time...	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Achievement Total
T1	.169	.184	.247	.247
T2	.129	.137	.063	.139
T3	-.024	-.200	-.275	-.215
T4	-.183	-.457*	-.496*	-.489*

*($\alpha = .05$)

As per the connections introduced in Table 2, there were a few negative relationships found between apparent self-viability and accomplishment execution at different time spans all through the meeting. For this information investigation, negative connections demonstrate that the higher the member scored for self-viability, the lower they scored on the Performance. T4 benchmarks negatively relate to Task 2, Task 3, and the complete exhibition score. Negative connections between these two factors show that when self-adequacy scores at benchmark T4 increment, execution scores decline in Task 1, Task 2, and additionally in the absolute presentation score.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Conclusion

The motivation behind this review was to inspect the connection between viability patterns of government schools understudies' accomplishment to capability on educator applicant execution appraisal, the exhibition accomplishment. Results and determinations will assist with acquiring a superior comprehension of the effect that presentation has on an understudy educator's self-adequacy throughout their instruction. With this arrangement, understudy readiness programs at government schools and others can give a stronger platform and execution of the presentation accomplishment process previously and during the understudy instructing experience. The accompanying examination destinations directed this review:

3. Describe the progressions that happen to understudy self-viability all through their understudy instructing.
4. Determine the connection between understudy self-viability at different places in the accomplishment portfolio consummation cycle and execution.

This review depends upon Bandura's (1997) theory of self-sensibility, which holds that self-sufficiency is affected mainly by power encounters. A gigantic power experience held in the early broad stretches of preparing is the understudy instructing experience. This hypothesis keeps up with the probability that a high impression of adequacy grants educators a more undeniable shot at having the decision to affect understudies and advance academic new turn of events (Bandura, 1994). Understudy Teacher plan programs impact early prevalence encounters since they are obligated to learn the instructive system and understudy training situation. Shulman and Shulman's (2008) theory of educator learning networks also keeps up with the defence behind this review, proposing that productive teachers are ones that have inspired to learn, a strategy for learning, certifiable practice in preparing, and a discernment of their show. These elements add to colossal encounters similar to the power encounters that Bandura (1997) depicts and those installed inside the understudy education experience.

5.2. Findings

Objective 1. Depict the progressions that happen to understudy self-viability all through their understudy instructing.

For all members in the review, government school understudies, self-adequacy scores expanded through the instruction from beginning self-viability estimation to the last. This finding is reliable to earlier examination referenced in the writing survey. Auxiliary school understudies showed an all the more consistent increment, while grade school understudies showed more sensational vacillations at the hour of inner accommodation to not long before getting scores. Auxiliary school understudies showed a sharp reduction in self-adequacy later the inward accommodation benchmark, unlike the grade school understudies. Auxiliary school understudies didn't show any critical drop in viability during the instruction. Preceding understudy education, members were generally strong in study hall on the board and least solid in understudy commitment. For the duration, understudy commitment remained in the region where members felt least viable.

Objective 2. Decide the connection between understudy self-adequacy at different places in the accomplishment portfolio culmination cycle and execution.

As shown by Pearson's two-followed affiliations, essential associations have existence between self-sufficiency and satisfaction of Task 2 score, Task 3 score, and the whole showcase score. The negative relationship between benchmark T4 shows that when self-sufficiency at these benchmarks increments, execution scores decline in Task 1, Task 2, and the complete show score. Independence is generally far and away identified with Task 2 and the complete execution score.

Assistant school understudies had an essential change in self-suitability and execution on Task 3 and the complete execution score. Change in self-amplessness for the present circumstance was the qualification in the basic and last self-reasonability scores related to their show, expressly Task 3 and the outright execution score.

References

- [1] Agarwal, B. L. (2003). *Programmed Statistics*. New Dehli: New Age International.
- [2] Allinder, R. M. (1995). An examination of the relationship between teacher efficacy and curriculum-based measurement and student achievement. *Journal for Special Education, 16*(4), 247-254.
- [3] Ashton, P. T., Webb, R. B., & Doda, N. (1983). A study of teachers' sense of efficacy (Final Report, Contract No. 400-79-0075). *Gansville: University of Florida. National Institute of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 231 834)*.
- [4] Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. In V.S. Ramachandran, *Encyclopedia of Human Behavior, 4*, 71-81.
- [6] Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-Efficacy: The exercise of control*. Macmillan. New York, New York. see <https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/05/>
- [7] Caprara, G. V., & Malone, P. S. (2006). Teachers' self-efficacy beliefs as determinants of job satisfaction and students' academic achievement: A study at the school level. *Journal of School Psychology, 44*(6), 473-490. 10.1016/j.jsp.2006.09.001
- [8] Chiu, S. (2014). edTPA: An assessment that reduces the quality of teacher education. *Working Papers in TESOL & Applied Linguistics, 14*(1), 28-30.
- [9] Fives, H., Hamman, D., & Olivarez, A. (2007). Does burnout begin with student-teaching? Analyzing efficacy, burnout, and support during the student-teaching semester. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 23*(6), 916-934. 10.1016/j.tate.2006.03.013
- [10] Fortman, C. K., & Pontius, R. (2000). Self-Efficacy during Student Teaching. Chicago, IL. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Mid-Western Educational Research Association.
- [11] Goddard, R. D., Hoy, W. K., & Hoy, A. W. (2004). Collective efficacy beliefs: Theoretical developments, empirical evidence, and future directions. *Educational Researcher, 33*(3), 3-13.
- [12] Gordon, C., & Debus, R. (2002). Developing deep learning approaches and personal teaching efficacy within a preservice teacher education context. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 72*(4), 483-511.

- [13] Harlin, J. F., Roberts, T. G., Briers, G. E., Mowen, D. L., & Edgar, D. W. (2007). A Longitudinal Examination of Teaching Efficacy of Agricultural Science Student Teachers at Four Different Institutions. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 48(3), 78-90. 10.5032/jae.2007.03078
- [14] Hoy, A. W., & Spero, R. B. (2005). Changes in teacher efficacy during the early years of teaching: A comparison of four measures. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21(4), 343-356. 10.1016/j.tate.2005.01.007
- [15] Jamil, F. M., Downer, J. T., & Pianta, R. C. (2012). Association of pre-service teachers' Performance, personality, and beliefs with teacher self-efficacy at program completion. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 39(4), 119-138.
- [16] Klassen, R. M., & Chiu, M. M. (2010). Effects on teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction: Teacher gender, years of experience, and job stress. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(3), 741.
- [17] Knobloch, N. A. (2006). Exploring relationships of teachers' sense of efficacy in two student teacher programs. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 47(2), 36.
- [18] Matter, W. T. S. E. B. (2014). The career development of preservice and in-service teachers. *International Handbook of Research on Teachers' Beliefs*, 212.
- [19] Miller, M. C. (2015). Developing a Culture of Learning Around the edTPA: One University's Journey. *The New Educator*, 11(1), 37-59.
- [20] Moulding, L. R., Stewart, P. W., & Dunmeyer, M. L. (2014). Pre-service Teachers' Sense of Efficacy: Relationship to Academic Ability, Student Teaching Placement Characteristics, and Mentor Support. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 41, 60-66. 10.1016/j.tate.2014.03.007
- [21] Myers, B. E., & Dyer, J. E. (2004). Agriculture Teacher Education Programs: A Synthesis of the Literature. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 45(3), 44-52.
- [22] Nettle, E. B. (1998). Stability and Change in the Beliefs of Student Teachers During Practice Teaching. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 14(2), 193-204. 10.1016/S0742-051X(97)00031-0
- [23] Roberts, T. G., Harlin, J. F., & Ricketts, J. C. (2006). A Longitudinal Examination of Teaching Efficacy of Agricultural Science Student Teachers. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 47(21), 81.
- [24] Roberts, T. G., Mowen, D. L., Edgar, D. W., Harlin, J. F., & Briers, G. E. (2007). Relationships between Personality Type and Teaching Efficacy of Student Teachers. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 48(2), 92-102.
- [25] Sharma, H. L., & Sharma, A. (2013). Cumulative effect of emotional intelligence and self-efficacy on Performance of school teachers. *Learning Community-An International Journal of Educational and Social Development*, 4(3), 287-298.
- [26] Shulman, L., & Shulman, J. (2008). How and what teacher learn: A shifting perspective. *Journal of Education*, 189(1/2), 1-8.
- [27] Stephanou, G., Gkavras, G., & Doulkeridou, M. (2013). The role of teachers' self-and collective-efficacy beliefs on their job satisfaction and experienced emotions in school. *Psychology*, 4(03), 268.
- [29] Stripling, C. R., Roberts, T. G., & Harlin, J. F. (2008). Preservice agricultural education teachers' sense of teaching self-efficacy. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 49(4), 120-135.
- [32] Swan, B. G., Wolf, K. J., & Cano, J. (2008). Changes in teacher self-efficacy from the student teaching experience through the third year of teaching. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 52(2), 128.
- [34] Thompson, R. E. (2014). The effects of teachers' self-efficacy and a positive learning environment on marginal students in mainstream high school settings. University of England, Armidale, New South Wales.
- [36] Tschannen-Moran, M., & Woolfolk Hoy, A. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17, 783-805. 10.1016/S0742-051X(01)00036-1
- [37] Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 202-248.
- [38] United States Department of Education. (2015). *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)*. Retrieved from U.S Department of Education: <https://www.ed.gov/essa?src=rn>
- [39] Watters, James J. & Ginns, Ian S. (1995) Origins of, and changes in preservice teachers' science teaching self efficacy. In *Annual Meeting of National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, April 22-25, 1995, San Francisco CA. (Unpublished)
- [40] Wheeler, J. & Knobloch, N.A. (2006). Relationship of teacher and program variables to beginning agriculture teachers' sense of efficacy. In *Proceedings of the National Agricultural Education Research Conference, Charlotte, NC*.

- [41] Wolf, K. J., Foster, D. D., & Birkenholz, R. J. (2008.). Teacher self-efficacy, level of preparation and professional development experiences of agricultural education teacher candidates. *In Proceedings of the American Association for Agricultural Education Research Conferenc.* Reno,NV 35, 16-29.
- [42] Woolfolk, A. E., & Hoy, W. K. (1990). Prospective teachers' sense of efficacy and beliefs about control. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82(1), 81.
- [43] Zimmerman, B. J. (1995). Zimmerman, B. J. (1995). Self-efficacy and educational development. *Self-efficacy in Changing Societies*, 202-231.