

Common N-tupled Fixed Point Result in Rectangular Metric Spaces and their Applications to a System of N-Integral Equations

MUHAMMAD SHOAIB ^{a,*}, MUHAMMAD SARWAR ^b 

^a Department of Mathematics, University of Malakand, Chakdara Dir(L), Pakistan

^b Department of Mathematics, University of Malakand, Chakdara Dir(L), Pakistan

• Received: 30 July 2023

• Accepted: 01 December 2023

• Published Online: 30 December 2023

Abstract

This manuscript establishes N-tupled fixed point result in rectangular b-metric. Using the obtained result, we also give an existence and uniqueness theorem for a non-linear N-integral equations class. Also, give an example of the validity of our result.

Keywords: Rectangular b-metric space, N-tupled coincidence point, Contractive mappings, W-compatible mapping pairs, Common N-tupled fixed point.

2010 MSC: Primary 47H10, secondary 54H25.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Branciari [6] introduced the concept of rectangular metric space as follows:

Definition 1.1. [6]. Let Δ be a non-empty set, and let $d : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that for all $\gamma_1, \vartheta_1 \in \Delta$, the following conditions holds:

1. $d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma_1 = \vartheta_1$;
2. $d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) = d(\vartheta_1, \gamma_1)$;
3. $d(\gamma_1, \eta_1) \leq d(\gamma_1, u_1) + d(u_1, v_1) + d(v_1, \eta_1) \forall$ distinct points $u_1, v_1 \in \Delta \setminus \{\gamma_1, \eta_1\}$.

Then (Δ, d) is called a rectangular or generalized metric space. After that, fixed point results in rectangular metric spaces have been studied by many authors (see e.g [1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]). On the other hand, the concept of b-metric space was introduced by Bakhtin [4] 1989 and Czerwik [8] 1993, which is defined as:

Definition 1.2. [8]. Let Δ be a non-empty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a b-metric on Δ , if for all $\gamma_1, \vartheta_1, \eta_1 \in \Delta$, the following conditions hold:

*Corresponding author: shoaibkhanbs@yahoo.com

1. $d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma_1 = \vartheta_1$;
2. $d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) = d(\vartheta_1, \gamma_1)$;
3. $d(\gamma_1, \eta_1) \leq s[d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) + d(\vartheta_1, \eta_1)]$.

the pair (Δ, d, s) is called a b-metric space, and the number s is called the coefficient of (Δ, d) . After that, fixed point results in rectangular metric spaces have been studied by many authors (see e.g [2, 11, 12, 16, 20, 29, 30, 31, 32]). Recently, George et al. [16] and Roshan et al. [22] introduced the notion of rectangular b-metric space as follows:

Definition 1.3. [16, 22]. Let Δ be a nonempty set, $s \geq 1$ be a given real number and let $d : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that for all $\gamma_1, \vartheta_1 \in \Delta$, the following conditions hold:

1. $d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma_1 = \vartheta_1$;
2. $d(\gamma_1, \vartheta_1) = d(\vartheta_1, \gamma_1)$;
3. $d(\gamma_1, \eta_1) \leq s[d(\gamma_1, u_1) + d(u_1, v_1) + d(v_1, \eta_1)] \forall$ distinct points $u_1, v_1 \in \Delta \setminus \{\gamma_1, \eta_1\}$.

Then (Δ, d, s) is called a rectangular b-metric space or a generalized b-metric space and the number s is called the coefficient of (Δ, d) . For detail see [14, 18, 21, 28]

Definition 1.4. [17] Let $\Delta \neq \emptyset$. $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$, $(\eta^1, \eta^2 \cdots \eta^n) \in \Delta^n$, is said to be fixed point of Θ if

$$\eta^1 = \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^n), \eta^2 = \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4 \cdots \eta^1) \cdots \eta^n = \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^{n-1}).$$

Definition 1.5. [17] Let $\Delta \neq \emptyset$. $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$, $(\eta^1, \eta^2 \cdots \eta^n) \in \Delta^n$, is said to be N-tupled coincidence point of θ and Θ if

$$\theta(\eta^1) = \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^n), \theta(\eta^2) = \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4 \cdots \eta^1) \cdots \theta(\eta^n) = \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^{n-1}).$$

In the concerned work, we prove some common N-tupled fixed point theorems for mappings in a rectangular b-metric. We also discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of nonlinear N-integral equations by using the established result.

2. Main Results

Definition 2.1. Let $\Delta \neq \emptyset$. A mapping $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$, are said to w-compatible if $\theta(\Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^n)) \subseteq \Theta(\theta\eta^1, \theta\eta^2, \theta\eta^3 \cdots \theta\eta^n)$ whenever

$$\eta^1 = \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^n), \eta^2 = \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4 \cdots \eta^1) \cdots \eta^n = \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^2, \eta^3 \cdots \eta^{n-1}).$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume that d_1 and d_2 be two rectangular b-metric on Δ with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ($d_2(\zeta, \xi) \leq d_1(\zeta, \xi)$). Furthermore, $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist λ_1, λ_2 and $\lambda_3 \in [0, 1)$ with $0 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$ and $0 \leq s\lambda_3 < 1$ such that the below condition holds

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^n)) + \dots \\ & + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}), \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{n-1})) \\ & \leq \lambda_1 [d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n)] \\ & + \lambda_2 [d_2(\theta(\zeta^1), \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_2(\theta(\zeta^2), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1)) + \dots \\ & + d_2(\theta(\zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] + \lambda_3 [d_2(\theta(\eta^1), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) \\ & + d_2(\theta(\eta^2), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^1)) + \dots + d_2(\theta(\eta^n), \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^{n-1}))], \quad (2.1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), (\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n) \in \Delta^n$. If $\Theta(\Delta^n) \subseteq \theta(\Delta)$, $\theta(\Delta)$ is complete, then θ and Θ have a N-tupled coincidence point $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n) \in \Delta^n$, satisfying that

$$\theta(\zeta^1) = \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \theta(\zeta^2) = \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1), \dots, \theta(\zeta^n) = \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}).$$

Moreover, if θ and Θ are w-compatible, then θ and Θ have a unique common N-tupled fixed point of the form $(\eta, \eta, \dots, \eta)$, which satisfies that $\eta = \theta\eta \in \Theta(\eta, \eta, \dots, \eta)$.

Proof. Let $\zeta_0^1, \zeta_0^2, \zeta_0^3, \dots, \zeta_0^n \in \Delta$ be arbitrary. Since $\Theta(\Delta^n) \subseteq \theta(\Delta)$ so there exist $\zeta_1^1, \zeta_1^2, \zeta_1^3, \dots, \zeta_1^n \in \Delta$ such that $\theta\zeta_0^1 = \Theta(\zeta_0^1, \zeta_0^2, \zeta_0^3, \dots, \zeta_0^n)$, $\theta\zeta_0^2 = \Theta(\zeta_0^2, \zeta_0^3, \zeta_0^4, \dots, \zeta_0^1)$, $\dots$, $\theta\zeta_0^n = \Theta(\zeta_0^n, \zeta_0^1, \zeta_0^2, \dots, \zeta_0^{n-1})$. By a similar way, there exist $\zeta_2^1, \zeta_2^2, \zeta_2^3, \dots, \zeta_2^n \in \Delta$. Since $\Theta(\Delta^n) \subseteq \theta(\Delta)$, there exist $\zeta_2^1, \zeta_2^2, \zeta_2^3, \dots, \zeta_2^n \in \Delta$ such that $\theta\zeta_1^1 = \Theta(\zeta_1^1, \zeta_1^2, \zeta_1^3, \dots, \zeta_1^n)$, $\theta\zeta_1^2 = \Theta(\zeta_1^2, \zeta_1^3, \zeta_1^4, \dots, \zeta_1^1)$, $\dots$, $\theta\zeta_1^n = \Theta(\zeta_1^n, \zeta_1^1, \zeta_1^2, \dots, \zeta_1^{n-1})$. Repeating the procedure, we can construct n sequences $\{\zeta_n^1\}, \{\zeta_n^2\}, \dots$ and $\{\zeta_n^n\}$ we have $\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1 = \Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n)$, $\theta\zeta_{n+1}^2 = \Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1)$, $\dots$ and, $\theta\zeta_{n+1}^n = \Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1})$ for $n \geq 0$,

without loss of generality, we can assume that $\theta\zeta_n^1 \neq \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_n^2 \neq \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2, \dots, \theta\zeta_n^n \neq \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n$, for all $n \geq 0$. By taking $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n) = (\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^n)$ and $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) = (\zeta_{n+1}^1, \zeta_{n+1}^2, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^n)$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^n) \\ &= d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n), \Theta(\zeta_{n+1}^1, \zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+1}^3, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^n)) \\ &+ d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1), \Theta(\zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+1}^3, \zeta_{n+1}^4, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^1)) \\ &+ \dots + d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}), \Theta(\zeta_{n+1}^n, \zeta_{n+1}^1, \zeta_{n+1}^2, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^{n-1})) \\ &\leq \lambda_1 [d_2(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] \\ &+ \lambda_2 [d_2(\theta(\zeta_n^1), \Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n)) + d_2(\theta(\zeta_n^2), \Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1)) + \dots + d_2(\theta(\zeta_n^n), \Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}))] \\ &+ \lambda_3 [d_2(\theta(\zeta_{n+1}^1), \Theta(\zeta_{n+1}^1, \zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+1}^3, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^n)) + d_2(\theta(\zeta_{n+1}^2), \Theta(\zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+1}^3, \zeta_{n+1}^4, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^1)) + \dots + d_2(\theta(\zeta_{n+1}^n), \Theta(\zeta_{n+1}^n, \zeta_{n+1}^1, \zeta_{n+1}^2, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}^{n-1}))] \\ &= \lambda_1 [d_2(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] + \lambda_2 [d_2(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] \\ &+ \lambda_3 [d_2(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] + \lambda_2 [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] \\ &+ \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)] \quad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^n) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_3} [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)]. \quad (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_3}$ by the condition $0 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$, then we have $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. (2.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^n) \\ & \leq \lambda [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By taking $\delta_n = d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^n)$. Repeating the above inequality (2.5) $n + 1$ times, we obtain,

$$\delta_{n+1} \leq \lambda \delta_n \leq \lambda^2 \delta_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^{n+1} \delta_0. \quad (2.6)$$

As $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n) = (\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^n)$ and $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) = (\zeta_{n+2}^1, \zeta_{n+2}^2, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^n)$ in (2.1), also with (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^n) \\ & = d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n), \Theta(\zeta_{n+2}^1, \zeta_{n+2}^2, \zeta_{n+2}^3, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^n)) \\ & + d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1), \Theta(\zeta_{n+2}^2, \zeta_{n+2}^3, \zeta_{n+2}^4, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^1)) + \cdots \\ & + d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}), \Theta(\zeta_{n+2}^n, \zeta_{n+2}^1, \zeta_{n+2}^2, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^{n-1})) \\ & \leq \lambda_1 [d_2(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_2(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^2) + \cdots + d_2(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^n)] \\ & + \lambda_2 [d_2(\theta(\zeta_n^1), \Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n)) + d_2(\theta(\zeta_n^2), \Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1)) + \cdots \\ & + d_2(\theta(\zeta_n^n), \Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1})))] \\ & + \lambda_3 [d_2(\theta(\zeta_{n+2}^1), \Theta(\zeta_{n+2}^1, \zeta_{n+2}^2, \zeta_{n+2}^3, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^n)) \\ & + d_2(\theta(\zeta_{n+2}^2), \Theta(\zeta_{n+2}^2, \zeta_{n+2}^3, \zeta_{n+2}^4, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^1)) + \cdots + d_2(\theta(\zeta_{n+2}^n), \Theta(\zeta_{n+2}^n, \zeta_{n+2}^1, \zeta_{n+2}^2, \dots, \zeta_{n+2}^{n-1})))] \\ & \leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^n)] \\ & + \lambda_2 [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^n)] \\ & + \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^n)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{Put } \delta_n^* = d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^n).$$

From $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_3} \in [0, 1)$ we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \lambda^2 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \lambda$. Consequently, by the use of (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\delta_{n+1}^* \leq \lambda_1 \delta_n^* + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \lambda^2) \delta_n \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \lambda^2) \max\{\delta_n, \delta_n^*\} \leq \lambda \max\{\delta_n, \delta_n^*\}. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{n+1}^* & \leq \lambda \max\{\delta_n, \delta_n^*\} \leq \lambda \max\{\lambda \delta_{n-1}, \lambda \max\{\delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}^*\}\} \\ & = \lambda^2 \max\{\delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}^*\} \leq \lambda^3 \max\{\delta_{n-2}, \delta_{n-2}^*\} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^{n+1} \max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Next, we show that $\{\theta \zeta_n^1\}, \{\theta \zeta_n^2\}, \dots, \{\theta \zeta_n^n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $\theta(\Delta)$. For this, we consider $d_1(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+p})$ in two cases.

Case 1. p is an odd number, assume that $p = 2m + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^1) &= d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1) \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1)] \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^2[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+3}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+3}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+4}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+4}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1)] \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^2[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+3}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+3}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+4}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^3[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+4}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+5}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+5}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+6}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+6}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1)] \leq \dots \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^2[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+3}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+3}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+4}^1)] + s^3[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+4}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+5}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+5}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+6}^1)] + \dots \\
 &\quad + s^m[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1)].
 \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^1) &= d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1) \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^2[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+3}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+3}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+4}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^3[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+4}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+5}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+5}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+6}^1)] + \dots \\
 &\quad + s^m[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-2}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^1)] + s^m d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m}^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We can similarly prove the following result

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^2) &= d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^2) \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^2)] + s^2[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+3}^2) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+3}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+4}^2)] + s^3[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+4}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+5}^2) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+5}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+6}^2)] + \dots \\
 &\quad + s^m[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-2}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^2) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^2)] + s^m d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m}^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^2), \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

...

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^n) &= d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^n) \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2}^n)] + s^2[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+3}^n) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+3}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+4}^n)] \\
 &\quad + s^3[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+4}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+5}^n) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+5}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+6}^n)] + \dots \\
 &\quad + s^m[d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-2}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^n) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m-1}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^n)] + s^m d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+2m}^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2m+1}^n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.8), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+p}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+p}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+p}^n) \\
 = d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2m+1}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2m+1}^n) \\
 \leq s(\delta_n + \delta_{n+1}) + s^2(\delta_{n+2} + \delta_{n+3}) + \cdots \\
 + s^m(\delta_{n+2m-2} + \delta_{n+2m-1}) + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \leq s(\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1})\delta_0 + \\
 + s^2(\lambda^{n+2} + \lambda^{n+3})\delta_0 + \cdots + s^m(\lambda^{n+2m-2} + \lambda^{n+2m-1})\delta_0 + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \delta_0 \\
 = s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)[1 + (s\lambda^2) + (s\lambda^2)^2 + \cdots + (s\lambda^2)^{m-1}]\delta_0 + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \delta_0, \quad (2.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

from (2.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)(m)\delta_0 + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \delta_0, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 = 1, \\ s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda) \cdot \frac{1 - (s\lambda^2)^m}{1 - s\lambda^2} + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \delta_0, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 \neq 1. \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)(m)\delta_0 + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 = 1, \\ \frac{s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)}{1 - s\lambda^2} \delta_0 + s^m \lambda^{n+2m} \max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. p is an even number. Assume that $p = 2m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+p}^1) &= d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^1) \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^1)] \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1)] + s^2[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^1) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+3}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+4}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+4}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^1)] \leq \cdots \leq s[d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^1)] + s^2[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^1) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+3}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+4}^1)] + \cdots + s^{m-1}[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-4}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m-3}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-3}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m-2}^1)] \\
 &\quad + s^{m-1}d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-2}^1, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^1). \quad (2.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

By similar arguments as above,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+p}^2) &= d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^2) \\
 &\leq s[d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^2) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^2)] + s^2[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^2) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+3}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+4}^2)] + \cdots \\
 &\quad + s^{m-1}[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-4}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2m-3}^2) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-3}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2m-2}^2)] \\
 &\quad + s^{m-1}d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-2}^2, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^2), \quad (2.16)
 \end{aligned}$$

...

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+p}^n) &= d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^n) \leq s[d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^n) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2}^n)] + s^2[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+3}^n) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+3}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+4}^n)] + \cdots \\
 &\quad + s^{m-1}[d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-4}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2m-3}^n) \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-3}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2m-2}^n)] + s^{m-1}d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+2m-2}^n, \theta \zeta_{n+2m}^n). \quad (2.17)
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.6), (2.9), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^n) = d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^1) \\
& \quad + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+2m}^n) \\
& \quad \leq s(\delta_n + \delta_{n+1}) + s^2(\delta_{n+2} + \delta_{n+3}) + \cdots \\
& \quad + s^{m-1}(\delta_{n+2m-4} + \delta_{n+2m-3}) + s^{m-1}\delta_{n+2m-2}^* \\
& \quad \leq s(\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1})\delta_0 + s^2(\lambda^{n+2} + \lambda^{n+3})\delta_0 + \cdots \\
& \quad + s^{m-1}(\lambda^{n+2m-4} + \lambda^{n+2m-3})\delta_0 + s^{m-1}\lambda^{n+2m-2}\max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\} \\
& = s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)[1 + (s\lambda^2) + (s\lambda^2)^2 + \cdots + (s\lambda^2)^{m-2}]\delta_0 + s^{m-1}\lambda^{n+2m-2}\max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, \\
& = \begin{cases} s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)[(m-1)]\delta_0 + s^{m-1}\lambda^{n+2m-2}\max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 = 1, \\ s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda) \cdot \frac{1 - (s\lambda^2)^{m-1}}{1 - s\lambda^2} \delta_0 + s^{m-1}\lambda^{n+2m-2}\max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 \neq 1. \end{cases} \\
& \leq \begin{cases} s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)(m-1)\delta_0 + s^{m-1}\lambda^{n+2m-2}\max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 = 1, \\ \frac{s\lambda^n(1 + \lambda)}{1 - s\lambda^2} \delta_0 + s^{m-1}\lambda^{n+2m-2}\max\{\delta_0, \delta_0^*\}, & \text{if } s\lambda^2 \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, so $\lambda^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14) and (2.18), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+p}^n)] = 0.$$

Which implies that $\{\theta\zeta_n^1\}, \{\theta\zeta_n^2\} \cdots \{\theta\zeta_n^n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $\theta(\Delta)$ with respect to rectangular metric. Since $\theta(\Delta)$ is complete, therefore there exist $\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n \in \Delta$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\zeta_n^1 = \theta\zeta^1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\zeta_n^2 = \theta\zeta^2, \dots, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\zeta_n^n = \theta\zeta^n.$$

Implies from (2.1) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \cdots \\
& \quad + d_1(\theta\zeta_{n+1}^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) \\
& = d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n), \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) \\
& \quad + \cdots + d_1(\Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) \\
& \quad \leq \lambda_1 [d_2(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta^2) + \cdots + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta^n)] \\
& \quad + \lambda_2 [d_2(\theta\zeta_n^1, \Theta(\zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \dots, \zeta_n^n)) + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^2, \Theta(\zeta_n^2, \zeta_n^3, \zeta_n^4, \dots, \zeta_n^1)) + \cdots \\
& \quad \quad + d_2(\theta\zeta_n^n, \Theta(\zeta_n^n, \zeta_n^1, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}))] \\
& \quad + \lambda_3 [d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \cdots \\
& \quad \quad + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
& \leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta^n)] \\
& \quad + \lambda_2 [d_1(\theta\zeta_n^1, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^2, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^2) + \cdots + d_1(\theta\zeta_n^n, \theta\zeta_{n+1}^n)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
& \leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta^n)] \\
& + \lambda_2 [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^n)] + \\
& \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
& = \lambda_1 [d_1(g \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta^n)] \\
& + \lambda_2 \delta_n + \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) \\
& + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
& \leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta^n)] + \lambda_2 \lambda^n \delta_0 \\
& + \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots \\
& + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))]. \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.19) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) \\
& \leq s [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \theta \zeta_n^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n))] \\
& + s [d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \theta \zeta_n^2) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^2) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n))] \\
& + \dots + s [d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \theta \zeta_n^n) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta_{n+1}^n) + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
& = s [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \theta \zeta_n^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \theta \zeta_n^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \theta \zeta_n^n)] + s \delta_n + s [d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) \\
& + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta_{n+1}^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
& \leq s(1 + \lambda_1) [d_1(\theta \zeta_n^1, \theta \zeta^1) + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^2, \theta \zeta^2) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta_n^n, \theta \zeta^n)] \\
& + s(1 + \lambda_2) \lambda^n \delta_0 + s \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta \zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) \\
& + d_1(\theta \zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots + d_1(\theta \zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))]. \quad (2.20)
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1(\theta(\zeta^1), \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta(\zeta^2), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots \\
& + d_1(\theta(\zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) \\
& \leq s \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta(\zeta^1), \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta(\zeta^2), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots \\
& + d_1(\theta(\zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))]. \quad (2.21)
\end{aligned}$$

By the condition $0 \leq s \lambda_3 < 1$ and (2.21), we can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1(\theta(\zeta^1), \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta(\zeta^2), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots \\
& + d_1(\theta(\zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that $\theta(\zeta^1) \in \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)$, $\theta(\zeta^2) \in \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)$, $\dots$, $\theta(\zeta^n) \in \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})$. Therefore, we conclude that $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)$ is the N-tupled coincidence point of θ and Θ . Next, we show the uniqueness of the N-tupled point of

coincidence of θ and Θ . Assume that $(\zeta^{1*}, \zeta^{2*}, \dots, \zeta^{n*})$ is another N-tupled coincidence point of mappings θ and Θ . By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^{1*}) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^{2*}) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\zeta^{n*}) \\
 &= d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^{1*}, \zeta^{2*}, \zeta^{3*}, \dots, \zeta^{n*})) \\
 &+ d_1(\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1), \Theta(\zeta^{2*}, \zeta^{3*}, \zeta^{4*}, \dots, \zeta^{n*})) + \dots \\
 &+ d_1(\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}), \Theta(\zeta^{n*}, \zeta^{1*}, \zeta^{2*}, \dots, \zeta^{(n-1)*})) \\
 &\leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^{1*}) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^{2*}) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\zeta^{n*})] \\
 &+ \lambda_2 [d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
 &+ \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta\zeta^{1*}, \Theta(\zeta^{1*}, \zeta^{2*}, \zeta^{3*}, \dots, \zeta^{n*})) + d_1(\theta\zeta^{2*}, \Theta(\zeta^{2*}, \zeta^{3*}, \zeta^{4*}, \dots, \zeta^{n*})) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^{n*}, \Theta(\zeta^{n*}, \zeta^{1*}, \zeta^{2*}, \dots, \zeta^{(n-1)*}))] \\
 &= \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^{1*}) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^{2*}) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\zeta^{n*})]. \quad (2.22)
 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$ and (2.22), we deduce

$$d(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^{1*}) + d(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^{2*}) + \dots + d(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\zeta^{n*}) = 0.$$

This implies that $\theta\zeta^1 = \theta\zeta^{1*}, \theta\zeta^2 = \theta\zeta^{2*}, \dots, \theta\zeta^n = \theta\zeta^{n*}$. So that the N-tupled point of coincidence of θ and Θ is unique. Next, we show that $\theta\zeta^1 = \theta\zeta^2 = \dots = \theta\zeta^n$ it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^2) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^3) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^{n-1}, \theta\zeta^n) \\
 &= d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^{n-1}, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) \\
 &\leq \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^2) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^3) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^{n-1}, \theta\zeta^n)] \\
 &\quad + \lambda_2 [d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + \dots \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta\zeta^{n-1}, \Theta(\zeta^{n-1}, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n))] \\
 &\quad + \lambda_3 [d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_1(\theta\zeta^3, \Theta(\zeta^3, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})) + \dots \\
 &\quad + d_1(\theta\zeta^n, \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] \\
 &= \lambda_1 [d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^2) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^3) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^{n-1}, \theta\zeta^n)]. \quad (2.23)
 \end{aligned}$$

By making use of $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$ and (2.23), we deduce

$$d_1(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^2) + d_1(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^3) + \dots + d_1(\theta\zeta^{n-1}, \theta\zeta^n) = 0.$$

This means that

$$\theta\zeta^1 = \theta\zeta^2 = \theta\zeta^3 = \dots = \theta\zeta^{n-1} = \theta\zeta^n.$$

Finally, if θ and Θ are w -compatible, then we have $\theta(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) \subseteq \Theta(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^3, \dots, \theta\zeta^n)$. Therefore, by taking $u = \theta\zeta^1$, we have

$$u = \theta\zeta^1 = \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \theta\zeta^2 = \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^n), \dots, \theta\zeta^n = \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}),$$

hence we have $\theta u = \theta\theta\zeta^1 = \theta(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) \subseteq \Theta(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\zeta^2, \theta\zeta^3, \dots, \theta\zeta^n) = \Theta(u, u, \dots, u)$. Thus, $(\theta u, \theta u, \dots, \theta u)$ is N -tupled point of coincidence of θ and Θ , and by its uniqueness, we get $\theta u = \theta\zeta^1$. Thus, we obtain $u = \theta u = \Theta(u, u, \dots, u)$. Therefore, $(u, u, \dots, u)$ is the unique common N -tupled fixed point of θ and Θ . $\square$

Corollary 2.3. Assume that d_1 and d_2 be two rectangular b -metrices on Δ with coefficient $s \geq 1$ ($d_2(\zeta, \xi) \leq d_1(\zeta, \xi)$). and $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist λ_1, λ_2 and $\lambda_3 \in [0, 1)$ with $0 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$ and $0 \leq s\lambda_3 < 1$ such that the following condition holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^n)) + \dots \\ & \quad + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}), \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{n-1})) \\ & \leq \lambda_1 [d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n)] \\ & \quad + \lambda_2 [d_2(\theta(\zeta^1), \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)) + d_2(\theta(\zeta^2), \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1)) + \dots \\ & \quad \quad + d_2(\theta(\zeta^n), \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}))] + \\ & \quad \lambda_3 [d_2(\theta(\eta^1), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) + d_2(\theta(\eta^2), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^1, \eta^3 \dots \eta^n)) + \dots \\ & \quad \quad + d_2(\theta(\eta^n), \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^1))], \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), (\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n) \in \Delta^n$. If $\Theta(\Delta^n) \subseteq \theta(\Delta)$ and $\theta(\Delta)$ is complete, then θ and Θ have a N -tupled coincidence point $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n) \in \Delta^n$, satisfying that $\theta(\zeta^1) = \Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n)$, $\theta(\zeta^2) = \Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1)$, $\dots$, $\theta(\zeta^n) = \Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^2, \zeta^3 \dots \zeta^{n-1})$. Moreover, if θ and Θ are w -compatible, then θ and Θ have a unique common N -tupled fixed point of the form $(\eta, \eta, \dots, \eta)$, which satisfies that $\eta = \theta\eta \in \Theta(\eta, \eta, \dots, \eta)$.

Let $\Delta = \mathbb{R}$. d_1 and d_2 be two rectangular b -metrics such that

$$d_1(\zeta, \xi) = (\zeta - \xi)^2$$

and

$$d_2(\zeta, \xi) = \frac{(\zeta - \xi)^2}{N}.$$

Define $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ by

$$\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n) = \frac{\zeta^1 + \zeta^2 + \zeta^3 + \dots + \zeta^n}{N}, \theta(\zeta) = N\zeta$$

where $N = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) \\ & = \left(\frac{\zeta^1 + \zeta^2 + \zeta^3 + \dots + \zeta^n}{N} - \frac{\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \dots + \eta^n}{N} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{\zeta^1 - \eta^1}{N} + \frac{\zeta^2 - \eta^2}{N} + \frac{\zeta^3 - \eta^3}{N} + \dots + \frac{\zeta^n - \eta^n}{N} \right)^2 \\
&\leq N \left[\left(\frac{\zeta^1 - \eta^1}{N} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\zeta^2 - \eta^2}{N} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\zeta^3 - \eta^3}{N} \right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\zeta^n - \eta^n}{N} \right)^2 \right] \\
&= N \left[\frac{(\zeta^1 - \eta^1)^2}{N^2} + \frac{(\zeta^2 - \eta^2)^2}{N^2} + \frac{(\zeta^3 - \eta^3)^2}{N^2} + \dots + \frac{(\zeta^n - \eta^n)^2}{N^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{(\zeta^1 - \eta^1)^2}{N} + \frac{(\zeta^2 - \eta^2)^2}{N} + \frac{(\zeta^3 - \eta^3)^2}{N} + \dots + \frac{(\zeta^n - \eta^n)^2}{N} \\
&= \frac{1}{N^3} \left[(N\zeta^1 - N\eta^1)^2 + (N\zeta^2 - N\eta^2)^2 + (N\zeta^3 - N\eta^3)^2 + \dots + (N\zeta^n - N\eta^n)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N^3} \left[d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) \leq \frac{1}{N^3} \left[d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n) \right]$$

By similar process we obtain

$$d_1(\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^n)) \leq \frac{1}{N^3} \left[d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n) \right]$$

⋮

$$d_1(\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}), \Theta(\eta_n, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta^{n-1})) \leq \frac{1}{N^3} \left[d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n) \right]$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_1(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \dots, \eta^n)) + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^n)) + \dots \\
&\quad + d_1(\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}), \Theta(\eta_n, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta^{n-1})) \\
&\quad \leq \lambda_1 \left[d_2(\theta\zeta^1, \theta\eta^1) + d_2(\theta\zeta^2, \theta\eta^2) + \dots + d_2(\theta\zeta^n, \theta\eta^n) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

by taking $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{N^2}$ then from theorem (2.2) θ and Θ have common N-tupled fixed point.

3. Application

In this section, we give an existence theorem for the solution of the system of N-integral equations. We assume that $\Delta = C[\kappa_1, \kappa_2]$ is the set of all continuous functions. Define a rectangular b-metric respectively by

$$d(\zeta, \xi) = \max |\zeta(v_1) - \xi(v_1)|^k, \forall \zeta, \xi \in \Delta, (k \geq 1), v_1 \in [\kappa_1, \kappa_2].$$

Then the coefficient of rectangular b-metric is $s = 3^{k-1}$. Consider the following non-linear system of N-integral equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \zeta^1(u_1) &= K(u_1) + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1)) + \varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + \varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^n(v_1))] dv_1, \\ \zeta^2(u_1) &= K(u_1) + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^2(v_1)) + \varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^3(v_1)) + \cdots + \varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1))] dv_1, \\ &\vdots \\ \zeta^n(u_1) &= K(u_1) + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^n(v_1)) + \varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1)) + \cdots + \varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^{n-1}(v_1))] dv_1. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose the following hypotheses hold:

1. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n : [\kappa_1, \kappa_2] \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are N- continuous functions.;
2. $K : [\kappa_1, \kappa_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous function, $\Phi : [\kappa_1, \kappa_2] \times [\kappa_1, \kappa_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function.;
3. There exist $M_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) such that for all $\zeta, \xi \in \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta(v_1)) - \varphi_1(u_1, \xi(v_1))| &\leq M_1 |\zeta - \xi|, \\ |\varphi_2(u_1, \zeta(v_1)) - \varphi_2(u_1, \xi(v_1))| &\leq M_2 |\zeta - \xi|, \\ \dots, |\varphi_n(u_1, \zeta(v_1)) - \varphi_n(u_1, \xi(v_1))| &\leq M_n |\zeta - \xi|. \end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\left(\int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) dv_1 \right)^k \leq \frac{1}{n^{2k+1} M^k}, \text{ where } n \geq 3. \quad (3.2)$$

Then under conditions 1-4, the integral equation (3.1) has a unique common solution on $[\kappa_1, \kappa_2]$.

Proof. Define $\Theta : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta$ and $g : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ respectively by,

$$\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n)(u_1) = K(u_1) + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1)) + \varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + \varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^n(v_1))] dv_1,$$

$g(\zeta) = \zeta$ for $\zeta \in \Delta$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| d(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n)(u_1)) - \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^n)(u_1) \right|^k \\ &= \left| K(u_1) + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1)) + \varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + \varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^n(v_1))] dv_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - K(u_1) + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \eta^1(v_1)) + \varphi_2(u_1, \eta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + \varphi_n(u_1, \eta^n(v_1))] dv_1 \right|^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1)) - \varphi_1(u_1, \eta^1(v_1))] + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^2(v_1)) - \varphi_2(u_1, \eta^2(v_1))] + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdots + \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^n(v_1)) - \varphi_n(u_1, \eta^n(v_1))] dv_1 \right|^k \\
&\leq n^{k-1} \left| \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_1(u_1, \zeta^1(v_1)) - \varphi_1(u_1, \eta^1(v_1))] \right|^k \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_2(u_1, \zeta^2(v_1)) - \varphi_2(u_1, \eta^2(v_1))] \right|^k + \\
&\quad \cdots + \left| \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) [\varphi_n(u_1, \zeta^n(v_1)) - \varphi_n(u_1, \eta^n(v_1))] dv_1 \right|^k \\
&\leq n^{k-1} M_1^k \left| \max |\zeta^1(v_1) - \eta^1(v_1)| \right|^k + M_2^k \left| \max |\zeta^2(v_1) - \eta^2(v_1)| \right|^k + \\
&\quad \cdots + M_n^k \left| \max |\zeta^n(v_1) - \eta^n(v_1)| \right|^k \left(\int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) dv_1 \right)^k \\
&\leq n^{k-1} M^k \left[\left| \max |\zeta^1(v_1) - \eta^1(v_1)| \right|^k + \left| \max |\zeta^2(v_1) - \eta^2(v_1)| \right|^k + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdots + \left| \max |\zeta^n(v_1) - \eta^n(v_1)| \right|^k \right] \left(\int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) dv_1 \right)^k \\
&\leq n^{k-1} M^k \left[d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) + d(\zeta^2(v_1), \eta^2(v_1)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + d(\zeta^n(v_1), \eta^n(v_1)) \right] \left(\int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_2} \Phi(u_1, v_1) dv_1 \right)^k \\
&\leq n^{k-1} M^k \left[d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) + d(\zeta^2(v_1), \eta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + d(\zeta^n(v_1), \eta^n(v_1)) \right] \frac{1}{n^{2k+1} M^k} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} \left[d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) + d(\zeta^2(v_1), \eta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + d(\zeta^n(v_1), \eta^n(v_1)) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&d((\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n)(u_1)), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^n)(u_1)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} \left[d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) + d(\zeta^2(v_1), \eta^2(v_1)) + \cdots + d(\zeta^n(v_1), \eta^n(v_1)) \right] \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

By similar arguments as above,

$$d((\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1)(u_1)), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^1)(u_1)) \\ \leq \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} \left[d(\zeta^2(v_1), \eta^2(v_1)) + d(\zeta^3(v_1), \eta^3(v_1)) + \dots + d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) \right] \quad (3.4)$$

...

$$d((\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})(u_1)), \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{n-1})(u_1)) \\ \leq \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} \left[d(\zeta^n(v_1), \eta^n(v_1)) + d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) + \dots + d(\zeta^{n-1}(v_1), \eta^{n-1}(v_1)) \right] \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5)

$$d(\Theta(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n), \Theta(\eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^n)) + d((\Theta(\zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \dots, \zeta^1)(u_1)), \Theta(\eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4, \dots, \eta^1)(u_1)) \\ + \dots + d((\Theta(\zeta^n, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{n-1})(u_1)), \Theta(\eta^n, \eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{n-1})(u_1)) \\ \leq \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} [d(\zeta^1(v_1), \eta^1(v_1)) + d(\zeta^2(v_1), \eta^2(v_1)) + \dots + d(\zeta^n(v_1), \eta^n(v_1))] \quad (3.6)$$

By taking $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ in equation (2.2) and $d_1 = d_2 = d$ we have that there exists $\zeta \in \Delta$ such that $\Theta(\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta) = \theta\zeta = \zeta$. Which implies that ζ is the unique solution of equation set (3.1).

□

4. conclusion

We have obtained N-tupled fixed point result in rectangular b-metric. Also, existence and uniqueness results for non-linear N-integral equations have been given. Our results can further be applied to the system of fractional differential equations and system of matrix equations containing N number of equations.

References

- [1] M. Arshad, J. Ahmad, E. Karapinar, Some common fixed point results in rectangular metric spaces, Int. J. Anal., 2013 (2013), 7 pages.
- [2] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, S. Sahmim, Common fixed points in rectangular b-metric spaces using (E.A) property, J. Adv. Math. Stud., 8 (2015), 159-169.
- [3] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, H. Lakzian, Fixed point results on a class of generalized metric spaces, Math. Sci. (Springer), 2012 (2012), 6 pages.
- [4] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Func. Anal. Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk, vol. 30, 1989, pp. 26-37.
- [5] N. Bilgili, E. Karapinar, D. Turkoglu, A note on common fixed points for (ψ, α, β) -weakly contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013 (2013), 6 pages.
- [6] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 57 (2000), 31-37.
- [7] N. Cakic, 'Coincidence and common fixed point theorems for (ψ, ϕ) weakly contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces, Filomat, 27 (2013), 1415-1423.

- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis*, 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [9] P. Das, L. K. Dey, Fixed point of contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces, *Math. Slovaca*, 59 (2009), 499-504.
- [10] C. Di Bari, P. Vetro, Common fixed points in generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218 (2012), 7322-7325.
- [11] H.-S. Ding, M. Imdad, S. Radenovic, J. Vujaković, On some fixed point results in b-metric, rectangular and b-rectangular metric spaces, *Arab J. Math. Sci.*, 22 (2016), 151-164.
- [12] H.-S. Ding, V. Ozturk, S. Radenovic, On some new fixed point results in b-rectangular metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8 (2015), 378-386.
- [13] I. M. Erhan, E. Karapinar, T. Sekulic, Fixed points of (ψ, ϕ) contractions on rectangular metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012 (2012), 12 pages.
- [14] Z. M. Fadail, G. S. Rad, V. Ozturk and S. Radenović, Some remarks on coupled, tripled and N-tupled fixed points theorems in ordered abstract metric spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 97(2015), 809-839.
- [15] A. Flora, A. Bellour, A. Al-Bsoul, Some results in fixed point theory concerning generalized metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, 61 (2009), 203-208.
- [16] R. George, S. Radenovic, K. P. Reshma, S. Shukla, Rectangular b-metric space and contraction principles, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8 (2015), 1005-1013.
- [17] M. Imdad, A.H Soliman, B.S Choudhary, P. Das, On N-tupled coincidence point results in metric spaces. *Journal of Operators*, 2013, Article ID: 532867.
- [18] I. Masmali, S. Radenović, S. Dalal, L. A Khan, Some remarks on multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces, *Journal of Advances in Mathematics*, 7(2014), 1084-1094 .
- [19] W. Kirk, N. Shahzad, *Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces*, Springer, 2014.
- [20] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Muhammad Sarwar, Remarks on the paper "Coupled fixed point theorems for single-valued operators in b-metric spaces" *Mathematics Interdisciplinary Research* 2 (2017), 1-8.
- [21] H. S Ding, M. Imdad, S. Radenović, J. Vujaković, On some fixed point results in b-metric, rectangular and b-rectangular metric spaces, *Arab J. of Math. Sci.* 22(2016), 151-164.
- [22] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, N. Hussain, New fixed point results in b-rectangular metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, 21 (2016), 614-634.
- [23] B. Samet, A fixed point theorem in a generalized metric space for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)*, 3 (2009), 1265-1271.
- [24] B. Samet, Discussion on A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces by A. Branciari [MR1771669], *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 76 (2010), 493-494.
- [25] S. Shukla, G – (F, τ) -contractions in partial rectangular metric spaces endowed with a graph and fixed point theorems, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.*, 6 (2016), 342-353.
- [26] S. Radenović, A note on tripled coincidence and tripled common fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces, *Apl. Math. Comput.*, 236 (2014) 367-372.
- [27] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Generalized metric spaces without Hausdorff property, *Math Sci* (2014) 8:125.
- [28] Z.Kadelburg, S. Radenović, On generalized metric spaces, *J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5(2014), 3-13.
- [29] K. Shah, B. Abdalla, T. Abdeljawad, R. Gul, Analysis of multipoint impulsive problem of fractional-order differential equations. *Boundary Value Problems*, 2023(1), 1-17.
- [30] K. J. Ansari, Asma, F. Ilyas, K. Shah, A. Khan, T. Abdeljawad, On new updated concept for delay differential equations with piecewise Caputo fractional-order derivative." *Waves in Random and Complex Media* (2023): 1-20.
- [31] H. Z. Alzumi, H. Bouhadjera, M. S. Abdo, Unique Common Fixed Points for Expansive Maps. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, (2023). Volume 2023, 1-14.
- [32] H. Bouhadjera, H. Z. Alzumi, W. Shammakh, S. M. Ali, M. S. Abdo, Unique Common Fixed Points for Occasionally Weakly Biased Maps of Type in-Metric-Like Spaces. *Journal of Function Spaces*, (2023). Volume 2023, 1-9.