



SABA Publishing

Positive solutions of a class of nonlinear boundary value fractional differential equations

KENNETH IFEANYI ISIFE

Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.

• Received: 15 September 2021 • Accepted: 29 December 2021 • Published Online: 30 December 2021

Abstract

In this paper, the existence of positive solutions of a class of nonlinear fractional boundary value problems is considered. Two fixed point theorems are used, namely: Banach Contraction mapping principle and Leggett-Williams fixed point theorems. The former is used to prove the existence of a unique solution, whereas the latter is used to prove the existence of at least three positive solutions to the problem. Some examples are provided to illustrate the two results.

Keywords: Fractional calculus, Caputo fractional derivative, Existence of positive solutions, Fixed point theory.

2010 MSC: 34A08, 34B18.

1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional calculus has evolved as an interesting and an important area of research. This is as a result of its numerous applications in models of several phenomena in various fields of science and engineering. Indeed, a number of applications in areas such as biology, engineering, earthquake prediction, signal processing, dynamical systems and etc., abound in the literature. For more on the theories and applications of fractional calculus, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 19] and the references therein.

Owing to the fact, that positive solutions are useful in several applications, various papers dealing on the existence of positive solutions of differential equations have appeared in the literature. Most often, such results are obtained using some fixed point theorems as can be seen in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and some references therein.

In [16], the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the fractional differential equation,

$$\begin{cases} D_C^\alpha x(t) - D_C^\beta x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, T], & 0 < \beta < \alpha < 1 \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

were studied using some new integral inequalities of Henry- Gronwall type. More recent study on existence of solutions and positive solutions of fractional differential equations can be seen in [17, 18, 19, 20].

In the case of this research work, the existence of positive solutions for the nonlinear boundary value fractional differential equation shall be considered,

$${}^cD_{0+}^\alpha x(t) + k {}^cD_{0+}^\beta x(t) + g(t, x(t)) = h(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{1.1}$$

$$x(0) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$x'(0) = \frac{\alpha}{2}x(1), \tag{1.3}$$

where $0 < \beta < 1 < \alpha < 2$, are real constants, $x \in C^2[0, 1]$ and ${}^cD_{0+}^\gamma x(t)$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of a function x of order γ , with $\gamma = \alpha, \beta$; k is a negative constant, $g : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an L_∞ - Caratheódory function, $h \in L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}[0, 1]$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, some basic terms of fractional calculus and some useful lemmas related to the work will be presented. In section three, by using the Contraction Mapping Principle, an existence of a unique positive solution to the problem is established. Equally, existence of at least three positive solutions of equations (1)-(3) will be proved using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. Lastly, in section 4, some examples are to be presented to illustrate the obtained results.

2. Preliminaries

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$C^n[a, b] = \left\{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \frac{d^n f(x)}{dx^n} \in C^0[a, b] \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $C^0[a, b]$ is the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$.

Also, let,

$$E = \{x \in C^2[0, 1] : x(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}, \tag{2.2}$$

be a cone in a Banach space $C^2[0, 1]$ endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_{C^2} = \|x\|_\infty + \|x'\|_\infty + \|x''\|_\infty.$$

Finally, let the nonnegative continuous concave functional θ on E be defined by

$$\theta(x) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t).$$

Definition 2.1[1] Let x be n -th times continuously differentiable function. The Caputo fractional derivative of a function x , of order α , with lower limit 0 is defined as,

$${}^cD_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} x^{(n)}(s) ds, \tag{2.3}$$

with $n - 1 < \alpha < n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$; while the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of a function x , of order $\alpha > 0$, and denoted by $I_{0+}^{\alpha} x(t)$ is defined by,

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} x(s) ds. \tag{2.4}$$

Observe from equations (6) and (7) that,

$${}^c D_{0+}^{\alpha} x(t) = I_{0+}^{n-\alpha} x^{(n)}(t). \tag{2.5}$$

Definition 2.2.[1] A map γ is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on a cone E of real Banach space S , if $\gamma : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$\gamma(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq t\gamma(x) + (1 - t)\gamma(y),$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Similarly, we say that the map ψ is a nonnegative continuous convex functional on a cone E of a real Banach space S , if $\psi : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$\psi(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq t\psi(x) + (1 - t)\psi(y),$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Definition 2.3. [21] A function $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Carathéodory function if it satisfies the following conditions

- $f(t, x)$ is Lebesgue measurable with respect to t in $[a, b]$,
- $f(t, x)$ is continuous with respect to x on \mathbb{R}

A function $f(t, x)$ defined on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an L^p - Carathéodory function, $p \geq 1$, if it is a Carathéodory function and $\forall r > 0$, there exists $h_r \in L^p(a, b)$, such that $\forall x \in [-r, r]$ and $\forall t \in [a, b]$, then $f(t, x) \leq h_r(t)$.

Lemma 2.1. [22] The space $AC^n[a, b]$ consists of those and only functions f , which can be represented in the form

$$x(t) = I_{a+}^n \varphi(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k (t - a)^k, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\varphi \in L_1(a, b)$, $c_k (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1)$ are arbitrary constants.

Lemma 2.2. [21] If $x \in AC^n[a, b]$ or $x \in C^n[a, b]$, then the equality

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha} ({}^c D_{a+}^{\alpha} x(t)) = x(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{(j)}(a)}{j!} (t - a)^j. \tag{2.7}$$

holds.

A particular case, where $0 < \beta < 1 < \alpha < 2$, then equation (10) becomes

$$I_{a_+}^{\alpha} {}^c D_{a_+}^{\beta} x(t) = I_{a_+}^{\alpha-\beta} x(t) - \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1)} x(a). \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $x \in C^2[0, 1]$, then x satisfies the equations (1) - (3) if, and only if x satisfies the Volterra integral equation*

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) x(s) ds,$$

where

$$G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + (t-s)^{\alpha-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} & \text{if } t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases},$$

$$G_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} + (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} & \text{if } t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $x \in C^2[a, b]$ satisfies equations (1) - (3), then we show that x satisfies the Volterra integral equation above. By lemma 2.2, we can apply $I_{a_+}^{\alpha}$ to both sides of (1), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0_+}^{\alpha} \left({}^c D_{0_+}^{\alpha} x(t) + k {}^c D_{0_+}^{\beta} x(t) + g(t, x(t)) \right) &= h(t) \\ \implies I_{0_+}^{\alpha} ({}^c D_{0_+}^{\alpha} x(t)) + I_{0_+}^{\alpha} (k {}^c D_{0_+}^{\beta} x(t)) &= I_{0_+}^{\alpha} [h(t) - g(t, x(t))] \\ \implies x(t) - x(0) - tx'(0) + k I_{0_+}^{\alpha-\beta} x'(t) &= I_{0_+}^{\alpha} [h(t) - g(t, x(t))] \\ \implies x(t) = tx'(0) + \frac{kx(0)t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1)} - k I_{0_+}^{\alpha-\beta} x(t) &+ I_{0_+}^{\alpha} [h(t) - g(t, x(t))] \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Making use of the boundary condition (2) in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(1) &= x'(0) - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} x(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds \\ \implies \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 \right) x'(0) &= -\frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} x(s) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds \\ \implies x'(0) &= \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{-k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} x(s) ds \right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above into (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) &= \frac{\alpha t}{2-\alpha} \left\{ -\frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} x(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} x(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds \\
\Rightarrow x(t) &= \frac{-k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^t \left[\frac{\alpha t (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} + (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \right] x(s) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{-k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_t^1 \frac{\alpha t (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} x(s) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left[\frac{\alpha t (1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \right] (h(s) - g(s, x(s))) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^1 \frac{\alpha t (1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} (h(s) - g(s, x(s))) ds
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) [h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) x(s) ds,$$

Thus, the volterra integral equation is satisfied.

On the other hand, suppose that x satisfies the volterra integral equation, then operating ${}^c D_{0+}^\alpha$ to both sides of the volterra integral equation, we obtain (1). Equally, it can easily be seen that the boundary conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied. Hence, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.4. $\forall t, s \in [0, 1]$, and $\alpha - \beta - 1 > 0$, the Green's function defined in lemma 2.3 satisfies the following :

- (i) $G_i(t, s) \geq 0, i = 1, 2.$
- (ii) $G_i(t, s) \leq G_i(1, s), i = 1, 2.$
- (iii) $\frac{t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{3} \leq G_i(t, s) \leq \frac{3t(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha}, i = 1, 2.$

Proof. (i) From the definition of $G_i, i = 1, 2$, and $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, we have that

$$G_i(t, s) \geq \frac{\alpha t (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} \geq 0$$

(ii) For $s \in [0, t], t \in [0, 1]$,

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{\alpha t (1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + (t-s)^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\alpha(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + (1-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= G_1(1, s) \end{aligned}$$

Also, for $s \in [t, 1]$, $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t, s) &= \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} \\ &= G_1(1, s) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $G_2(t, s) \leq G_2(1, s), \forall t, s \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore, $G_i(t, s) \leq G_i(1, s), i = 1, 2$.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(t, s) &\geq \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{2-\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{3(2-\alpha)} \\ &\geq \frac{t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Equally,

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(t, s) &\leq \frac{\alpha t(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + (1-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} + \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.5. [18] Let E be a cone in a real Banach space $C^2[0, 1]$ $E_e = \{x \in E : \|x\|_{C^2} \leq e\}$, θ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on E such that $\theta(x) \leq \|x\|_{C^2}, \forall x \in \bar{E}_e$, and $E(\theta, d, f) = \{x \in E : d \leq \theta(x), \|x\|_{C^2} \leq f\}$. Suppose that $T : \bar{E}_e \rightarrow \bar{E}_e$ is completely continuous and there exist positive constants $0 < c < d < e \leq f$ such that

(i) $\{x \in E(\theta, d, f) : \theta(x) > d\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\theta(T(x)) > d \forall x \in E(\theta, d, f)$

(ii) $\|T\|_{C^2} \leq c$ for $x \leq c$

(iii) $\theta(Tx) > d$ for some $x \in E(\theta, d, e)$ with $\|T(x)\|_{C^2} > f$.

Then T has at least three fixed points x_1, x_2, x_3 with $\|x_1\|_{C^2} < c, d < \theta(x_2), c < \|x_3\|_{C^2}$ with $\theta(x_3) < d$.

Lemma 2.6. [22] ((Banach's contraction principle) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and consider a mapping $J : X \rightarrow X$, which is strictly contractive, i.e.,

$$d(Jx, Jy) \leq Ld(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

for some (Lipschitz constant) $L < 1$. Then,

1. The mapping J has one, and only one, fixed point $x^* = J(x^*)$;
2. the fixed point x^* is globally attractive, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n x = x^*;$$

3.

$$d(J^n x, x^*) \leq L^n d(x, x^*), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

4.

$$d(J^n x^n, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

5.

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(x, Tx), \quad \forall x \in X$$

Lemma 2.7. (Hölder inequality)[20] Assume that $p, q \geq 1$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If $f \in L^p(J, X)$, $g \in L^q(J, X)$, then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $fg \in L^1(J, X)$ and

$$\|fg\| \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q},$$

where $J = [0, 1]$.

3. Existence results

First, By applying the standard contraction mapping principle, the existence of a unique positive solution to the class of equations (1)-(3) is proved.

Theorem 3.1. Let E be defined as in (5) and suppose that,

$$|g(t, x(t)) - g(t, y(t))| \leq |k|(x(t) - y(t)),$$

and $h - g$ is a nonnegative function, where k is a negative constant as defined in (1), with

$$|k| < \frac{(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)}{6}.$$

Then, the problem (1)-(3) has a unique solution on E .

Proof: Define $T : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$Tx(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)[h(s) - g(s, x(s))] ds - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)x(s) ds.$$

First, observe that for each $x \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} Tx(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)[h(s) - g(s,x(s))]ds - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t,s)x(s)ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{3}(h(s) - g(s,x(s)))ds + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{3}x(s)ds \\ &\geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is well-defined.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t) - Ty(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)|g(s,x(s)) - g(s,y(s))|ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t,s)|x(s) - y(s)|ds \\ &\leq \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha}|x(s) - y(s)|ds + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha}|x(s) - y(s)|ds \\ &\leq \frac{2|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \|x - y\| \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{2|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \|x - y\| \frac{3}{\alpha(2-\alpha)} \\ &\leq \frac{6|k|}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_{C^2} \leq \frac{6|k|}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \|x - y\|_{C^2},$$

with $\frac{6|k|}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} < 1$.

Therefore, by the Banach Contraction principle of theorem 2.6, it has been proved that T , has a unique fixed point on E , which is a solution of the problem.

Next, applying the famous Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem of lemma 2.5, the existence of at least three positive solutions to the problem is obtained. Before proceeding, here is a theorem that is necessary for the proof of the next result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be a cone in a Banach space as defined by (5). Then, the operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. First, define a map $T : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$Tx(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)[h(s) - g(s,x(s))]ds - \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t,s)x(s)ds.$$

Then, from theorem 3.1, the map T is well-defined.

Next, it suffices to show that the operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ is continuous. Pick any sequence

$x_n \in E$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in E$, we show that $\|Tx_n - Tx\|_{C^2} \rightarrow 0$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx_n(t) - Tx(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t,s) |g(s, x_n(s)) - g(s, x(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t,s) |x_n(s) - x(s)| ds, \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$\|Tx_n - Tx\|_{C^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, T is continuous on E . Next, it is required to show that T maps bounded sets of E into bounded sets in E .

Now, let

$$V = \{x \in E : \|x\| < M\},$$

then we show that $\|Tx\|_{C^2} < L$, for some positive constants M, L .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t,s) |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t,s) |x(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} |x(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{3}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds + \frac{3M|k|}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\leq \frac{3}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 |h(s) - g(s, x(s))|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} ds \right)^{\beta} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{1-\beta}} ds \right)^{1-\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{3M|k|}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\leq \frac{3}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)(\gamma+1)^{1-\beta}} \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} + \frac{3M|k|}{\alpha(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|Tx\|_{C^2} \leq L,$$

$$\text{with } L = \frac{3}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)(\gamma+1)^{1-\beta}} \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} + \frac{3M|k|}{\alpha(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)}$$

Next, for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, with $t_1 < t_2$ and $x \in E$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t_2) - Tx(t_1)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t_2,s) - G_1(t_1,s) |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t_2,s) - G_2(t_1,s) |x(s)| ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T is equicontinuous on E .

Therefore, The operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a completely continuous operator. \square

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $\alpha - \beta - 1 > 0$ and the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold. If there exist constants $0 < c < d < e$ such that,

1.

$$c > \frac{3\alpha \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}}{(\gamma + 1)^{1-\beta} (\alpha(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta) - 3|k|)},$$

provided that $\alpha(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta) - 3|k| > 0$.

2. for some $\xi \in (0, 1)$,

$$|k| > \frac{3\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)}{\xi}$$

Then our problem has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 , with $\|x_1\|_{C^2} < c$, $d < \theta(x_2)$, $c < \|x_3\|_{C^2}$, with $\theta(x_3) < d$,

Proof. It suffices to show that the conditions of lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Supposing that $x \in \bar{E}_c$, then $\|x\| \leq c$.

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)(h(s) - g(s, x(s))) ds + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)x(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{3(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{2-\alpha} |x(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{3}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds + \frac{3c|k|}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &= \frac{3}{(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 |h(s) - g(s, x(s))|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} ds \right)^{\beta} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{1-\beta}} ds \right)^{1-\beta} + \frac{3c|k|}{\alpha(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \\ &\leq x(0) \left(1 + \frac{|k|t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)} \right) + x'(0)t + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} |x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |h(s) - g(s, x(s))| ds \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t)| &\leq x(0) \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)} \right) + x'(0) + \frac{|k|c}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} ds + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^t |h(s) - g(s, x(s))|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} ds \right)^{\beta} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{1-\beta}} ds \right)^{1-\beta} \\ &\leq x(0) \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)} \right) + x'(0) + \frac{c|k|}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \frac{1}{(\gamma + 1)^{1-\beta}} \\ &\leq c, \end{aligned}$$

by condition (1) of theorem 3.3 This implies that,

$$\|Tx\|_{C^2} \leq c.$$

Then by theorem 3.2, $T : \bar{E}_c \rightarrow \bar{E}_c$ is completely continuous. Equally, employing the same argument, it follows from condition (1) that if $x \in \bar{E}_d$ then $\|Tx\|_{C^2} \leq d$.

Define,

$$G(\theta, d, e) = \{x \in E : d \leq \theta(x), \|x\|_{C^2} \leq e\},$$

and let

$$x(t) = \frac{d+e}{2}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

then we show that

$$x(t) \in G(\theta, d, e).$$

We observe that $x(t)$ as defined is nonnegative and so is contained in E . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| &= \frac{(d+e)}{2} \\ &= \frac{e+e}{2} \\ &= e. \\ \implies \|x\|_{C^2} &\leq e \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\theta(x) = \frac{d+e}{\alpha}$, we have that

$$\theta(x) \geq d$$

Thus,

$$\{x \in G(\theta, d, e) : \theta(x) > d\} \neq \Phi$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(Tx) &= \min_{\xi \leq t \leq b} |Tx(t)| \\ &\geq \min_{\xi \leq t \leq b} Tx(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)(h(s) - g(s, x(s))) ds + \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)x(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{-ek}{3\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 t(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} ds \\ &\geq \frac{\xi ek}{3\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta} \Big|_0^1 \\ &= \frac{e\xi|k|}{3\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)} \\ &> e \end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi \in (0, 1)$

$$\implies \theta(Tx) > e$$

for $x \in G(\theta, d, e)$.

Therefore, by lemma 2.5, our problem has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 , with

$$\|x_1\|_{C^2} < c \text{ and } d < \theta(x_2), c < \|x_3\|_{C^2}, \text{ with } \theta(x_3) < d. \quad \square$$

4. Some Examples

Example 1. Any well-posed boundary value problem formed by the fractional differential equation

$${}^c D_{0+}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} x(t) - \frac{e}{15\pi} {}^c D_{0+}^{\frac{e}{4}} x(t) + (t - \frac{e}{4})(x^2(t) + 6)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = t \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. To see this, it suffices to show that example (4.1) satisfies the conditions of theorem 3.1. First, we observe that $g(t, x(t)) = (t - \frac{e}{4})(x^2(t) + 6)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is an L^∞ -Carathéodory function and equally Lipschitz continuous, since it is continuously differentiable with respect to x .

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |k| &= \frac{e}{15} \leq \frac{(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)}{6} \\ &= 0.07695697757 \end{aligned}$$

Equally,

$$h(t) - g(t, x(t)) = t - (t - \frac{e}{4})(x^2(t) + 6)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$$

Thus the conditions of theorem 3.1 is verified, hence the proof. □

Example 2.

The equation

$${}^c D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} x(t) - \frac{1}{5} {}^c D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{3}} x(t) + 1 = \sin^{\frac{4}{3}} x(t) \quad (4.2)$$

with the conditions

$$x(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = \frac{3}{4}x(1) \quad (4.3)$$

has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 , with

$$\|x_1\|_{C^2} < c \text{ and } d < \theta(x_2), c < \|x_3\|_{C^2}, \text{ with } \theta(x_3) < d.$$

Proof. To see this, from theorem 3.2, the operator

$$Tx(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)[1 - \sin^{\frac{4}{3}} x(t)] ds + \frac{1}{5\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)x(s) ds, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{3t(1-s)^1}{2} + (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if, } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ \frac{3t(1-s)^1}{2} & \text{if, } t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases} \\ G_2(t, s) &= \begin{cases} 3t(1+s)^{\frac{1}{6}} + (t-s)^{\frac{1}{6}} & \text{if, } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ 3t(1-s)^{\frac{1}{6}} & \text{if, } t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is completely continuous.

Next, from equation (15), $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $k = \frac{-1}{5}$ then, we estimate $\|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} &= \left(\int_0^t (1 - \sin^{\frac{4}{3}} x(s))^3 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^t (\cos^{\frac{4}{3}} x(s))^3 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^t \cos^4 x(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.\end{aligned}$$

We recall from trigonometric identities that

$$\cos^4 x(t) = \frac{3 + 4 \cos(2x(t)) + \cos(4x(t))}{8}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} &= \left(\int_0^t \frac{3 + 4 \cos(2x(s)) + \cos(4x(s))}{8} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= \left(\frac{3t + 2 \cos(2x(t)) + \frac{1}{4} \cos(4x(t)) - \frac{9}{4}}{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{8}}.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$0 \leq \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \leq \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{8}}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{3\alpha \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}}{(\gamma + 1)^{1-\beta} (\alpha(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta) - 3|k|)} &\leq \frac{3 \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{8}}}{\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left[\frac{3}{4} \times \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) - \frac{3}{5}\right]} \\ &= \frac{\frac{9}{4} \times \sqrt[3]{3}}{\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \times 0.0957895002} \\ &= \frac{3.245061532}{0.1391051706} \\ &= 23.32811583.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, any $c \geq 23.32811583 > \frac{3\alpha \|h - g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}}{(\gamma + 1)^{1-\beta} (\alpha(2-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta) - 3|k|)}$ will satisfy the first condition of theorem 3.3.

For the second condition of theorem 3.3, we need some $\xi \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|k| > \frac{3\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + 1)}{\xi}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \frac{1}{5} > \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{13}{6})}{\xi} \\ &\implies \xi < \frac{1}{16.23508834} \end{aligned}$$

So, any $\xi < \frac{1}{16.23508834}$ will suffice. Thus, by Theorem 3.3, the problem has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 , with

$$\|x_1\|_{C^2} < c \text{ and } d < \theta(x_2), c < \|x_3\|_{C^2}, \text{ with } \theta(x_3) < d. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] Podlubny I (1999). *Fractional Differential Equations*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic press.
- [2] Miller KS and Ross B (1993). *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*. Wiley, New York.
- [3] Zhou Y (2014). *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*. world scientific Publishing singapore.
- [4] Cădariu L and Radu L (2003). *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*. J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. **4**(1): 1-7.
- [5] Hedayati V and Samei ME (2019). *Positive solutions of fractional differential equation with two pieces in chain interval and simultaneous Dirichlet boundary conditions*. Boundary Value Problems 141. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-019-1251-8>
- [6] Qiuyue W and Lu Y (2020). *Positive solutions for a nonlinear system of fourth-order ordinary differential equations*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2020**(45): 1-15. <https://digital.library.txstate.edu/handle/10877/14552>
- [7] Maya C, Petr G and Elliott H. (2020). *Existence of positive solutions for fractional Laplacian equations: Theory and numerical strength*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2020**(81): 1-31. <https://digital.library.txstate.edu/handle/10877/14588>
- [8] Chun-Yu L, Hong-Min S and Chang-Mu C (2018). *Multiple positive solutions for a Schrodoger-Newton system with singularity and critical growth*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2018**(86): 1-15. <https://digital.library.txstate.edu/handle/10877/15253>
- [9] Jie Y, Haibo C and Zhaosheng F. (2020). *Multiple positive solutions to the fractional Kirchhoff problem with critical indefinite nonlinearities*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2020** (101), 1-21.
- [10] Juan M and Daliang Z (2019). *Multiple Positive Solutions for Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Integral Boundary Value Conditions and a Parameter*. Journal of Function Spaces, **2019**: Article ID 2787569. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2787569>
- [11] Shu S, Lingling Z, Bibo Z and Nan Z (2020). *Existence-uniqueness of positive solutions to nonlinear impulsive fractional differential systems and optimal control*. Boundary Value Problems, **2020**, 162 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-020-01461-x>
- [12] Hong-Min S, Chun-Yu L, and Jia-Feng L (2019). *New multiple positive solutions for elliptic equations with singularity and critical growth*. EJQTDE, **20**, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2019.1.20>
- [13] Jianxin H, Xinguang Z, Lishan L, Yonghong W, and Yujun C (2018). *Existence and asymptotic analysis of positive solutions for a singular fractional differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions*. Boundary Value Problems, **2018**, 189, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-018-1109-5>
- [14] Fengfei J, and Baoqiang Y (2020). *Existence of positive solutions for singular Dirichlet boundary value problems with impulse and derivative dependence*. Boundary Value Problems, **2020**, 157 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-020-01454-wR>
- [15] Zhiyu L, and Zhanbing B (2019). *Existence of solutions for some two-point fractional boundary value problems under barrier strip conditions*. Boundary Value Problems, **2019**, 192 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-019-01307-1R>
- [16] Tao Z (2019). *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for fractional differential equations*. Boundary Value Problems, **2019**, 22 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-019-1141-0>

- [17] Wahash HA, and Panchal SK (2020). *Positive solutions for generalized Caputo fractional differential equations using lower and upper solutions method*. Journal of Fractional Calculus and Nonlinear Systems, **1**(1), 1-12 <https://doi.org/10.48185/jfcns.v1i1.78>
- [18] Wahash HA, and Panchal SK (2020). *Positive solutions for generalized two-term fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling, **1**(1), 47-63, <https://doi.org/10.48185/jmam.v1i1.35>
- [19] Abdo MS (2022). *Boundary value problem for fractional neutral differential equations with infinite delay*. Abhath Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, **1**(1), 1-17. <https://ojs.abhath-ye.com/index.php/OJSRJBAS/article/view/357>
- [20] Abdo MS (2020). *Further results on the existence of solutions for generalized fractional quadratic functional integral equations*. J. Math. Anal. Model., **1**(1), 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.48185/jmam.v1i1.2>
- [21] Kenneth II (2021). *Investigation of some stability properties of solutions for a class of nonlinear boundary value fractional differential equations*. Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications, **12**(1), 90-100.
- [22] Kenneth II (2019). *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for some two-point boundary value fractional differential equations*. Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications, **10**(1), 24-32.
- [23] Yuansheng T, Zhanbing B, and Sujing S (2019). *Positive solutions for a boundary value problem of fractional differential equation with p -Laplacian operator*. Advances in Difference Equations, **2019**, 349 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2280-4>
- [24] Bai Z, Lü H (2005). *Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **311**, 495-505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.02.052>